

# **Classification of Gravitational Waves from Black Hole-Neutron Star Mergers with Machine Learning**

**Nurzhan Ussipov, Zeinulla Zhanabaev, Almat Akhmetali† , Marat Zaidyn, Dana Turlykozhayeva, Aigerim Akniyazova, Timur Namazbayev**

Department of Solid State Physics and Nonlinear Physics, Al-Farabi Kazakh National University, Almaty 050040, Kazakhstan

This study developed a machine learning-based methodology to classify gravitational wave (GW) signals from black holeneutron star (BH-NS) mergers by combining convolutional neural network (CNN) with conditional information for feature extraction. The model was trained and validated on a dataset of simulated GW signals injected to Gaussian noise to mimic real world signals. We considered all three types of merger: binary black hole (BBH), binary neutron star (BNS) and neutron starblack hole (NSBH). We achieved up to 96% correct classification of GW signals sources. Incorporating our novel conditional information approach improved classification accuracy by 10% compared to standard time series training. Additionally, to show the effectiveness of our method, we tested the model with real GW data from the Gravitational Wave Transient Catalog (GWTC-3) and successfully classified ~90% of signals. These results are an important step towards low-latency real-time GW detection.

**Keywords:** gravitational waves, conditional information, machine learning, classification

### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Since the groundbreaking detection of gravitational waves (GWs) in September 2015 (Abbott et al. 2016) by the advanced Laser Interferometer Gravitational-Wave Observatory (LIGO) and the Virgo Collaboration (Abbott et al. 2009; Aasi et al. 2015; Acernese et al. 2015), the field of GW Astrophysics has entered a new epoch. In the initial observing runs, O1 and O2, a total of eleven GW signals from compact binary mergers were identified, encompassing ten binary black-hole (BBH) events and a singular, distinct binary neutron star (BNS) merger, GW170817 (Abbott et al. 2017b, 2019a, 2019b). The significance of GW170817 extended across scientific disciplines, marking the beginning of Multi-Messenger Astrophysics (MMA) by linking gravitational wave observations with electromagnetic (EM) radiation (Abbott et al. 2017c). This convergence unveiled a new horizon for understanding astrophysical processes using

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

GWs, EM radiation, cosmic rays, and neutrinos, offering a comprehensive view of celestial sources (Vartanyan & Burrows, 2020; Yuan et al. 2020). As the third observing run (O3), which was divided into O3a and O3b, the number of gravitational wave events increased significantly, exceeding 70 new detections. Notably, this phase not only included an additional BNS merger (Abbott et al. 2020) but also witnessed, for the first time, the detection of two neutron star-black hole (NSBH) mergers (Abbott et al. 2021). The cumulative events, including those from O3, form the third Gravitational-Wave Transient Catalog (GWTC-3), incorporating over 90 distinct occurrences of compact object mergers (Abbott et al. 2023a, 2023b).

The start of the O4 observing run in May 2023 heralds an ambitious 20-month phase for LIGO, Virgo, and KAGRA collaborations. O4a, the initial period, concludes on January 16, 2024, marked by endeavors to address variable noise coupling. Following an 8-week break and an engineering

**Received** 09 JUN 2024 **Revised** 13 AUG 2024 **Accepted** 28 AUG 2024 **† Corresponding Author**

Tel: +7-707-280-49-92, E-mail: akhmetalialmat@gmail.com ORCID: https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7254-524X

run (ER16) in March 2024, O4b commences on March 27, 2024, marking a key phase for gravitational wave observations. LIGO's Hanford and Livingston detectors sustain robust operation, exhibiting a BNS detection range of 140 to 170 Mpc. Meanwhile, Virgo is expected to achieve a BNS range over 45 Mpc due to recent commissioning efforts aimed at noise reduction and stability improvements. Plans for the subsequent O5 remain under revision by Virgo, with details expected to solidify by mid-2024. KAGRA's reinitiated commissioning, scheduled to rejoin observations in spring 2024, anticipates a BNS range of around 10 Mpc (Abbott et al. 2017a; 2018; Cahillane & Mansell 2022).

However, the increasing detection rates of GW pose a computational challenge for current matched-filtering techniques (Antelis & Moreno 2019). These traditional methods, relying on pre-calculated waveform templates, become computationally intensive and need help with the expansive parameter space of unknown source characteristics (Canton et al. 2014). Moreover, their dependence on precise templates raises concerns about potential missed signals that deviate from expected theoretical patterns (Gabbard et al. 2018; Kang et al. 2022). Additionally, accounting for unique events with factors such as eccentricity, precession, and higher-order models makes it impractical to cover this range with millions of templates, especially in neutron star scenarios where prompt electromagnetic follow-ups are crucial (Kang et al. 2022).

Consequently, the pressing demand for more efficient detection and classification algorithms in astronomy, overcoming the limitations of conventional methods, has led to the emergence of Deep Learning (DL) methodologies. Recently, Qiu et al. (2023) demonstrated the success of DL in identifying coalescence GW events involving neutron stars, encompassing two BNS mergers and two NSBH mergers (Qiu et al. 2023). These advances in DL, along with the increasing prevalence of machine learning techniques are playing crucial roles in tasks such as exploring supernova GWs (Mitra et al. 2023), optimizing efficiency and accuracy in estimating the detectability of extreme-mass-ratio inspirals signals (Chapman-Bird et al. 2023; Yun et al. 2023), and gravitational wave data analysis (Gabbard et al. 2018; George & Huerta. 2018; Dreissigacker et al. 2019; Ormiston et al. 2020; Wang et al. 2020; Zhanabaev & Ussipov 2023), reflecting their growing significance in advancing astronomical research. The utilization of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) algorithms has demonstrated remarkable potential in detecting simulated signals from BBH collisions amidst Gaussian noise, rivaling or surpassing the performance of conventional matched-filtering techniques (Qiu et al. 2023). This marked a turning point, prompting numerous research

cohorts to embrace DL algorithms for detecting GW BBH events in simulated and real LIGO data.

Considering the computational challenges faced by current matched-filtering techniques in GW detection, as mentioned earlier, our research introduces conditional information as a novel feature for signal characterization. Entropy, a ubiquitous tool in signal processing, offers a unique perspective for characterizing signal attributes, effectively addressing the limitations inherent in traditional methods. Its application is widespread across various disciplines, such as radar signal processing for source attribution and signal classification (Nalband et al. 2018; Zhang et al. 2023), and in the medical field for disease diagnosis through electroencephalograms (EEGs) or electrocardiograms (ECGs) analysis (Chawale et al. 2022). By incorporating entropy analysis into our GW detection and classification methodology, we aim to enhance the versatility and effectiveness of GW detection algorithms. This approach represents a significant departure from the prevailing deep learning methods. It could potentially offer a more efficient solution to the challenges of large parameter spaces and the need for prompt identification in scenarios involving neutron stars.

Considering the computational challenges faced by current matched-filtering techniques in GW detection, this research introduces conditional information as a novel feature for signal characterization. Conditional information estimation involves analyzing two discrete time series: the received GW signal and signal interference. By leveraging mutual information, which quantifies the nonlinear relationship between these signals, the methodology addresses limitations inherent in traditional methods. This approach represents a significant shift from conventional deep learning methods, offering a potentially more efficient solution to the challenges of large parameter spaces and prompt identification, especially in scenarios involving neutron stars.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. In section 2, we describe our methods to construct a dataset, calculate conditional information, and train CNNs. In section 3, we present and discuss the results. In section 4, we make conclusions.

## **2. DATA AND METHODS**

#### **2.1 Data Preparation**

For the simulation of GW signals for Compact Binary Coalescences (CBC), we utilized the LIGO Algorithm Library Suite (LALSuite; LIGO Scientific Collaboration

2018). This suite provided us with the tools to generate waveforms for BBH, BNS, and NSBH systems. The specific time-domain waveform approximants used were SEOBNRv4 for BBH (Bohé et al. 2017), which models the inspiral, merger, and ringdown components of the signal, TaylorF2 for BNS (Messina et al. 2019) and IMRPhenomNSBH for NSBH (Matas et al. 2020). Our approach is adapted by the widely used methodology of Gebhard et al. (2019), which we integrated for our own needs. The generated signals are illustrated in Fig. 1.

In creating BBH waveforms, we uniformly sampled component masses between 2 and 95  $M_{\odot}$  with a maximum mass ratio  $(m_1/m_2)$  of 10. For BNS waveforms, the component masses were uniformly sampled between 1 and 2  $M_{\odot}$ . For NSBH waveforms, neutron star component masses were uniformly chosen between 1 and 2  $M_{\odot}$ , while black hole masses were sampled between 2 and 35  $M_{\odot}$ . We randomly sampled values for the z-components of their spins, right ascension, declination, polarization, inclination, and coalescence phase angle. These parameters collectively specify the location and orientation of the source in the sky, as well as the injection signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

Waveforms are sampled at a frequency of 4,096 Hz over 4 seconds. This duration was chosen by the authors (Qiu et al. 2023) as it has proven effective in achieving robust discrimination between different classes of CBC. Moreover, this timeframe is sufficient for accurately recovering real GW signals corresponding to all CBC configurations. Opting for these shorter templates significantly reduces memory requirements during our neural network training, a crucial factor in managing computational resources effectively.

To simulate realistic GW signals, we inject GW signals into Gaussian noise using the PyCBC library, which utilizes the power spectral density (PSD) model specific to the advanced LIGO detectors (Usman et al. 2016; Biwer et al. 2019). Gaussian noise is generated to match the expected background noise characteristics for the detector. The GW signals are then projected on the detectors and resized before being injected into the Gaussian noise with a specific SNR. Real data from the detectors as well as simulated signals undergo a whitening process to enhance the signal visibility against background noise. Whitening is performed using PSD computed directly from the raw gravitational wave strain data via Welch's method (Welch 1967). This critical step ensures that the noise contribution at each frequency is rescaled to have equal power, thereby making the signal more distinct. Whitening is a linear operation; thus, applying it separately to both real data and simulated signals is effectively equivalent to whitening the combined data, as noted by Gabbard et al. (2018).

To further refine our training process and make it robust against moderate time translations in the signal, we randomly position the peak of each waveform within the template, specifically between 3.7 and 3.9 seconds. This



**Fig. 1.** Sample of simulated gravitational waves (GWs) projected onto the Hanford (H), Livingston (L), Virgo (V) detectors.

randomness in peak positioning enhances the model's ability to handle moderate time translations in the signal during training (Qiu et al. 2023). Additionally, we adjust the amplitude of each injected waveform to achieve a specific SNR. The SNR is defined as follows (Gabbard et al. 2018):

$$
\rho_{opt}^2 = 4 \int_{r_{min}}^{\infty} \frac{\left| h^{\prime} \left( f \right) \right|^2}{S_n \left( f \right)} df \tag{1}
$$

where h<sup>o</sup> is the frequency domain representation of the GW strain,  $S_n(f)$  is the single-sided detector noise PSD, and  $f_{min}$ is the frequency of the GW signal at the start of the sample time series. From an astrophysical perspective, rescaling the waveform is equivalent to varying the source distance from the detector.

We generate 480,000 templates for training, 16,000 templates for validation, and 1,600,000 templates for testing, all disjointing. SNR was uniformly sampled in the range between 0 and 20.

#### **2.2 Conditional Information Estimation**

Our method considers two discrete time series of signals, *X* represents the received GW signal and *Y* represents the signal interference, denoted as  $\Delta x[n]$ , where  $[n] = \Delta x[n]$ . The signal interference,  $\Delta x[n]$ , defined as the interval of deviation from  $\Delta x[n]$ , determined by the central (symmetric) difference:  $\Delta x[n] = (x[n+1] + x[n-1]) / 2 - x[n]$ . Moreover, Mutual information tells us how much information we can get from one random variable by observing another random variable. Mutual information is closely related to the concept of entropy. Because in some cases, when one of the variables is known, mutual information can reduce the uncertainty of the other random variable to a certain extent.

Mutual Information Quantifies the nonlinear relationship between the signals *X* and *Y*, denoted as *I*(*Y*;*X*), and computed as the difference between one-dimensional and conditional Shannon entropies (Shannon & Weaver 1949):

$$
I(Y;X) = H(Y) - H(Y|X)
$$
 (2)

where  $H(Y)$  and  $H(Y|X)$  defined as:

$$
H(Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p\left(x\big[j\big], y\big[j\big]\right) \log_2 p\left(y\big[i\big]\right) \tag{3}
$$

$$
H(X|Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p\left(x\big[\,i\,\big],y\big[\,i\,\big]\right) \log_2 p\left(y\big[\,i\,\big],x\big[\,i\,\big]\right) \tag{4}
$$

Conditional information is computed as the difference between joint entropy and conditional entropy, defined as:

$$
I(Y|X) = H(X,Y) - H(Y|X)
$$
\n(5)

where *H*(*X,Y*) defined as:

$$
H(X,Y) = -\sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{m} p\left(x\left[i\right], y\left[j\right]\right) \log_2 p\left(x\left[i\right], y\left[i\right]\right) \tag{6}
$$

A detailed explanation of conditional information as a tool for utilizing gravitational wave signals is provided in the paper by Zhanabaev & Ussipov (2023).

#### **2.3 Convolutional Neural Network**

Fig. 2 shows CNN processing the input time series, where convolutional filters slide over the data to extract local





patterns and temporal dependencies. This method helps the network learn key data characteristics. Pooling layers reduce dimensionality, and the final softmax layer outputs probabilities for categories like BBH, BNS, NSBH, or Noise, ensuring accurate classification.

Our CNN architecture comprises 7 convolutional blocks followed by 2 Dense layers, concluding with a softmax activation function at the output. Each convolutional block features a 1D convolution layer with a ReLU activation function and a 1D Max Pooling layer. The parameters for the Conv1D and MaxPooling1D layers are set as follows: kernel size of 3 for Conv1D, pool size of 2 for MaxPooling, and same-padding for both layers. The convolutional layers are configured with 128, 64, 32, 32, 32, 32, and 32 filters, respectively, while the Dense layers consist of 64 neurons in the hidden layer and 4 neurons in the output layer. The model has a total of 175,140 parameters. The CNN model was implemented and trained using TensorFlow 2.1, with the Adam optimizer, a learning rate of 0.001, and a batch size of 256. We utilized categorical cross-entropy as the loss function and incorporated EarlyStopping regularization, monitoring validation accuracy with a patience of 10 epochs. The training was performed on an NVIDIA GeForce RTX 3080 GPU with 10 GB of video memory. The architecture is illustrated in Fig. 3.

### **3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

We evaluate the performance of our model using a testing dataset consisting of GW events described in subsection 2.1. This evaluation includes assessing the effectiveness of our approach within the impact of SNR, accuracy of CNN within training progress and comparing the trained DL model's performance before and after with utilizing our approach. Finally, the model's performance is tested on real GW events from the GWTC-3 catalog.

Fig. 4(a) depicts the conditional information and entropy calculations for three types of gravitational wave signals: BBH, BNS, and NSBH. These values are calculated using Eqs. (4) and (5), with the peak interval of the time series data used for the calculations. We then applied the Monte Carlo method to average the conditional information and entropy across all simulated data. The results show distinct levels of conditional information and entropy for each signal type, indicating its potential as a feature for classification.

The three curves show that as the SNR increases, the probability of detecting BBH and NSBH increases rapidly and then levels off, indicating that higher SNR values do not significantly increase the detection probability. For



**Fig. 3.** A schematic diagram of neural network architecture illustrates that all 1D convolution layers within a given convolutional block have various numbers of filters. The total number of parameters in the model is 175,140.

BNS events, the probability increases more gradually and continues to increase as SNR grows.

At lower SNR values, BNS events have a higher detection probability than BBH and NSBH, but as the SNR increases, the probability for BBH and NSBH surpasses that of BNS. This could imply that BBH and NSBH events produce stronger signals at higher SNRs, making them easier to detect compared to BNS events.

Fig. 4(b) shows the variation of conditional information and entropy with different window sizes, using an SNR of 30. The figure demonstrates that the feature remains stable



**Fig. 4.** Impact of varying signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) and window size. (a) Conditional information (dashed) and conditional entropy (solid) of different signals with increasing SNR. (b) Conditional information (dashed) and conditional entropy (solid) of different signals with different window sizes.

within a particular window size.

The performance of our CNN model was evaluated based on its ability to correctly classify simulated GW signals into their respective categories: BBH, BNS, and NSBH. Fig. 5 shows training progress of a CNN over a certain number of epochs, which are iterations over the entire data set. Both the accuracy and validation accuracy are converging to a high percentage, and the validation loss is decreasing, which indicates that the model is learning effectively without overfitting, as there is not a significant gap between training and validation accuracy.

Two confusion matrices are included to illustrate the impact of incorporating conditional information into CNN training. Fig. 6 shows the confusion matrix before integrating conditional information, while Fig. 7 presents the results



**Fig. 5.** Training process of Convolutional Neural Network (CNN) model. **Fig. 6.** The confusion matrix for standard time series training.

after its inclusion. The comparison reveals significant gains in classification accuracy and model performance, showing that conditional information effectively refines the network's ability to differentiate between gravitational wave signal types. After integrating conditional information, the model shows the following performance metrics:

- • BBH (Binary Black Hole): The model has a high accuracy rate of 96% for correctly predicting BBH events, with very few misclassifications.
- BNS (Binary Neutron Star): The model is also performing well on BNS predictions with 95% accuracy.
- NSBH (Neutron Star-Black Hole): The accuracy drops slightly for NSBH events, with the model correctly identifying them 90% of the time. There is a notable





**Fig. 7.** The confusion matrix utilized conditional entropy as a feature for training.

misclassification rate with BBH signals (5% of NSBH events are classified as BNS).

• Noise: This category is likely used for classifying signals that are not GWs. The model has a high accuracy of 95% for correctly identifying noise.

An analysis of real gravitational wave events from GWTC-3 is included to assess the effectiveness of the methodology. Table 1 presents the classification results for these events, detailing the gravitational wave signals identified by the model. The table includes the event name, masses, detected SNR, and the type of CBC.

#### **4. CONCLUSION**

Our research has successfully demonstrated the viability

of employing machine learning techniques, particularly CNNs and conditional information estimation, for the classification of gravitational wave signals from BH-NS mergers.

We introduced an innovative methodology that combines conditional information as a feature in signal processing with the power of deep learning for gravitational wave detection. This approach not only addresses the limitations of traditional matched-filtering techniques but also enhances the detection and classification accuracy of GW signals.

We constructed a comprehensive dataset of simulated gravitational wave signals using the LALSuite. This dataset, including waveforms for BBH, BNS, and NSBH systems, was pivotal in training and validating our CNN model, ensuring its robustness and accuracy in real-world scenarios.

The CNN model exhibited exceptional performance, achieving high accuracy rates in classifying simulated GW signals into their respective categories. This level of accuracy is particularly significant considering the complexities involved in distinguishing between different types of gravitational wave signals.

Our methodology's applicability to real gravitational wave data was validated by testing the model on real GW data from the GWTC-3 catalog, where it achieved notable accuracy. This underscores the potential of our approach in contributing to ongoing and future gravitational wave observational campaigns.

The promising results of our study pave the way for further research and development in the field. With the anticipated improvements in gravitational wave detectors and the continuous evolution of machine learning algorithms, the scope for more refined and advanced detection methods is vast. Our research contributes a foundational step towards this progress.





SNR, signal-to-noise ratio; CBC, compact binary coalescences; GW, gravitational wave; BBH, binary black-hole; BNS, binary neutron star; NSBH, neutron star-black hole.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

We would like to express our sincerest gratitude to the Al-Farabi Kazakh National University for supporting this work by providing computing resources (Department of Physics and Technology). This research was funded by the Committee of Science of the Ministry of Education and Science of the Republic of Kazakhstan, grant AP14872061.

This work has made use of data, software and/or web tools obtained from the Gravitational Wave Open Science Center (https://www.gw-openscience.org), a service of LIGO Laboratory, the LIGO Scientific Collaboration and the Virgo Collaboration. LIGO is funded by the U.S. National Science Foundation. Virgo is funded by the French Centre National de Recherche Scientifique (CNRS), the Italian Istituto Nazionale della Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and the Dutch Nikhef, with contributions by Polish and Hungarian institutes.

### **ORCIDs**

Nurzhan Ussipov https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2512-3280 Zeinulla Zhanabaev

 https://orcid.org/0000-0001-5959-2707 Almat Akhmetali https://orcid.org/0009-0005-7254-524X Marat Zaidyn https://orcid.org/0009-0006-8505-7277 Dana Turlykozhayeva

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-7326-9196 Aigerim Akniyazova

 https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9185-3185 Timur Namazbayev

https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2389-2262

## **REFERENCES**

- Aasi J, Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott T, Abernathy MR, et al., Advanced LIGO, Class. Quantum Grav. 32, 074001 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/7/074001
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abernathy M, Acernese F, et al., Observation of gravitational waves from a binary black hole merger, Phys. Rev. Lett. 116, 061102 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.116.061102
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abernathy MR, Ackley K, et al., Exploring the sensitivity of next generation gravitational wave detectors, Class. Quantum Grav. 34, 044001 (2017a). https://doi.org/10.1088/1361-6382/aa51f4
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, et al., GWTC-1: a gravitational-wave transient catalog of compact

binary mergers observed by LIGO and Virgo during the first and second observing runs, Phys. Rev. X. 9, 031040 (2019a). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX.9.031040

- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, et al., GW190425: observation of a compact binary coalescence with total mass ~3.4M⊙, Astrophys. J. Lett. 892, L3 (2020). https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ab75f5
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, et al., Prospects for observing and localizing gravitationalwave transients with advanced LIGO, advanced Virgo and KAGRA, Living Rev. Relativ. 21, 3 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1007/s41114-020-00026-9
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al., GW170817: observation of gravitational waves from a binary neutron star inspiral, Phys. Rev. Lett. 119, 161101 (2017b). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.119.161101
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al., Multi-messenger observations of a binary neutron star merger, Astrophys. J. Lett. 848, L12 (2017c). https://doi. org/10.3847/2041-8213/aa91c9
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al., Properties of the binary neutron star merger GW170817. Phys. Rev. X. 9, 011001 (2019b). https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevX.9.011001
- Abbott BP, Abbott R, Adhikari R, Ajith P, Allen B, et al., LIGO: the laser interferometer gravitational-wave observatory, Rep. Prog. Phys. 72, 076901 (2009). https://doi.org/10.1088/0034- 4885/72/7/076901
- Abbott R, Abbott TD, Abraham S, Acernese F, Ackley K, et al., Observation of gravitational waves from two neutron starblack hole coalescences, Astrophys. J. Lett. 915, L5 (2021). https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac082e
- Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, et al., GWTC-3: compact binary coalescences observed by LIGO and Virgo during the second part of the third observing run, Phys. Rev. X. 13, 041039 (2023a). https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevX.13.041039
- Abbott R, Abbott TD, Acernese F, Ackley K, Adams C, et al., Population of merging compact binaries inferred using gravitational waves through GWTC-3, Phys. Rev. X. 13, 011048 (2023b). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevX. 13.011048
- Acernese F, Agathos M, Agatsuma K, Aisa D, Allemandou N, et al., Advanced Virgo: a second-generation interferometric gravitational wave detector, Class. Quantum Grav. 32, 024001 (2015). https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/32/2/024001
- Antelis J, Moreno C, An independent search of gravitational waves in the first observation run of advanced LIGO using cross-correlation, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. 51, 61 (2019). https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10714-019-2546-x
- Biwer CM, Capano C, De S, Cabero M, Brown D, et al., PyCBC inference: a python-based parameter estimation toolkit for compact binary coalescence signals, Publ. Astron. Soc. Pac. 131, 024503 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1088/1538-3873/ aaef0b
- Bohé A, Shao L, Taracchini A, Buonanno A, Babak S, et al., Improved effective-one-body model of spinning, nonprecessing binary black holes for the era of gravitationalwave astrophysics with advanced detectors, Phys. Rev. D. 95, 044028 (2017). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.95.044028
- Cahillane C, Mansell G, Review of the advanced LIGO gravitational wave observatories leading to observing run four, Galaxies, 10, 36 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3390/ galaxies10010036
- Canton TD, Nitz AH, Lundgren A, Nielsen AP, Brown DA, et al., Implementing a search for aligned-spin neutron star-black hole systems with advanced ground based gravitational wave detectors, Phys. Rev. D. 90, 082004 (2014). https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevD.90.082004
- Chapman-Bird CEA, Berry CPL, Woan G, Rapid determination of LISA sensitivity to extreme mass ratio inspirals with machine learning, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 522, 6043-6054 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad1397
- Chawale MSA, Mohod SB, Chandak MDS, WCQMV: design of a wavelet compression based quadratic model for EEG classification using multivariate analysis, Int. J. Sci. Res. Sci. Technol. 9, 1-10 (2022). https://doi.org//10.32628/ IJSRST229174
- Dreissigacker C, Sharma R, Messenger C, Zhao R, Prix R, Deeplearning continuous gravitational waves, Phys. Rev. D. 100, 044009 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100. 044009
- Gabbard H, Williams M, Hayes F, Messenger C, Matching matched filtering with deep networks for gravitational-wave astronomy, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120, 141103 (2018). https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.120.141103
- Gebhard TD, Kilbertus N, Harry I, Schölkop B, Convolutional neural networks: a magic bullet for gravitational-wave detection? Phys. Rev. D, 2019, 100, 063015. https://doi. org/10.1103/PhysRevD.100.063015
- George D, Huerta E, Deep Learning for real-time gravitational wave detection and parameter estimation: results with advanced LIGO data, Phys. Lett. B. 778, 64-70 (2018). https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.physletb.2017.12.053
- Kang Y, Liu C, Shao L, Electromagnetic follow-up observations of binary neutron star mergers with early warnings from decihertz gravitational-wave observatories, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 515, 739-748 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1093/ mnras/stac1738
- LIGO Scientific Collaboration, LALSuite: LIGO Scientific

Collaboration Algorithm Library Suite, Astrophys. Source Code Libr. record ascl:2012.021 (2018). https://doi. org/10.7935/GT1W-FZ16

- Matas A, Dietrich T, Buonanno A, Hinderer T, Pürrer M, et al., Aligned-spin neutron-star–black-hole waveform model based on the effective-one-body approach and numericalrelativity simulations, Phys. Rev. D. 102, 043023 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.043023
- Messina F, Dudi R, Nagar A, Bernuzzi S, Quasi-5.5PN TaylorF2 approximant for compact binaries: point-mass phasing and impact on the tidal polarizability inference, Phys. Rev. D. 99, 124051 (2019). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.99.124051
- Mitra A, Shukirgaliyev B, Abylkairov YS, Abdikamalov E, Exploring supernova gravitational waves with machine learning, Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc. 520, 2473-2483 (2023). https://doi.org/10.1093/mnras/stad169
- Nalband S, Prince A, Agrawal A, Entropy-based feature extraction and classification of vibroarthographic signal using complete ensemble empirical mode decomposition with adaptive noise, IET Sci. Meas. Technol. 12, 350-359 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1049/iet-smt.2017.0284
- Ormiston R, Nguyen T, Coughlin M, Adhikari RX, Katsavounidis E, Noise reduction in gravitational-wave data via deep learning. Phys. Rev. Res. 2, 033066 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1103/ PhysRevResearch.2.033066
- Qiu R, Krastev PG, Gill K, Berger E, Deep learning detection and classification of gravitational waves from neutron star-black hole mergers, Phys. Lett. B. 840, 137850 (2023). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.physletb.2023.137850
- Shannon CE, Weaver W, The Mathematical Theory of Communication (University of Illinois Press, Urbana, 1949).
- Usman SA, Nitz AH, Harry IW, Biwer CM, Brown DA, et al., The PyCBC search for gravitational waves from compact binary coalescence, Class. Quantum Grav. 33, 215004 (2016). https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/33/21/215004
- Vartanyan D, Burrows A, Gravitational waves from neutrino emission asymmetries in core-collapse supernovae, Astrophys. J. 901, 108. (2020). https://doi.org/10.3847/1538- 4357/abafac
- Wang H, Wu S, Cao Z, Liu X, Zhu JY, Gravitational-wave signal recognition of LIGO data by deep learning, Phys. Rev. D. 101, 104003 (2020). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.101. 104003
- Welch P, The use of fast Fourier transform for the estimation of power spectra: a method based on time averaging over short, modified periodograms, IEEE Trans. Audio Electroacoust. 15, 70-73 (1967). https://doi.org/10.1109/TAU.1967.1161901
- Yuan C, Murase K, Kimura SS, Mészáros P, High-energy neutrino emission subsequent to gravitational wave radiation from supermassive black hole mergers, Phys. Rev. D. 102, 083013

(2020). https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.102.083013

Yun Q, Han WB, Guo YY, Wang H, Du M, Detecting extrememass-ratio inspirals for space-borne detectors with deep learning, arXiv prepr. (2023). https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv. 2309.06694

Zhanabaev Z, Ussipov NM, Information-entropy method for

detecting gravitational wave signals, Eurasian Phys. Tech. J. 20, 79-86 (2023). https://doi.org/10.31489/2023NO2/79-86

Zhang Z, Li Y, Jin S, Zhang Z, Wang H, et al., Modulation signal recognition based on information entropy and ensemble learning, Entropy, 20, 198 (2018). https://doi.org/10.3390/ e20030198