

Development of a New Lunar Regolith Simulant using an Automated Program Framework

GyeongRok Kwon1,2, Kyeong Ja Kim1,2†, Eungseok Yi1

 $^{\rm l}$ Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources, Daejeon 34113, Korea 2 Resources Engineering, Korea National University of Science and Technology, Daejeon 34113, Korea

Nowadays, the trend in lunar exploration missions is shifting from prospecting lunar surface to utilizing *in-situ* resources and establishing sustainable bridgehead. In the past, experiments were mainly focused on rover maneuvers and equipment operations. But the current shift in trend requires more complex experiments that includes preparations for resource extraction, space construction and even space agriculture. To achieve that, the experiment requires a sophisticated simulation of the lunar environment, but we are not yet prepared for this. Particularly, in the case of lunar regolith simulants, precise physical and chemical composition with a rapid development speed rate that allows different terrains to be simulated is required. However, existing lunar regolith simulants, designed for 20th-century exploration paradigms, are not sufficient to meet the requirements of modern space exploration. In order to prepare for the latest trends in space exploration, it is necessary to innovate the methodology for producing simulants. In this study, the basic framework for lunar regolith simulant development was established to realize this goal. The framework not only has a sample database and a database of potential simulation target compositions, but also has a built-in function to automatically calculate the optimal material mixing ratio through the particle swarm optimization algorithm to reproduce the target simulation, enabling fast and accurate simulant development. Using this framework, we anticipate a more agile response to the evolving needs toward simulants for space exploration.

Keywords: lunar simulant, simulant, regolith, *in-situ* resource utilization (ISRU), space resource, Moon

1. INTRODUCTION

The production of lunar soil simulants is essential in preparation for future lunar missions aiming to utilize lunar resources, rover operations, and soil excavation (Gaier 2008). However, preparing experiments for lunar exploration in the very different environment of the Moon compared to the Earth requires considerable effort.

The first lunar regolith simulants were developed in preparation for the Apollo program to simulate lunar surface environments (Sibille et al. 2006). However, it was later revealed that the lunar simulants used in the Apollo program differed from the actual lunar soil environment and caused problems. Issues arose due to the presence of fine, sharp dust particles in the lunar soil (Gaier 2005).

With the end of the Apollo program the returned samples of lunar regolith made it possible to study the physical and chemical composition of the lunar surface is unprecedented detail (Taylor et al. 2001, 2010). From this, the need for accurate lunar simulants became apparent. Thus, the development of more accurate lunar simulants began. As various countries and companies attempted lunar exploration, a variety of lunar simulants were developed (McKay et al. 1994; Ryu et al. 2015). Conducting experiments to simulate the lunar environments using these simulants has contributed significantly to the progress of lunar exploration such as preparation for lunar resource extraction, rover maneuver (Allan et al. 2019; Shi et al. 2022;

Received 07 MAY 2024 **Revised** 25 MAY 2024 **Accepted** 26 MAY 2024 **† Corresponding Author**

Tel: +82-42-868-3669, E-mail: kjkim@kigam.re.kr ORCID: https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6220-8411

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/3.0/) which permits unrestricted non-commercial use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Wang et al. 2022). However, current lunar simulants have also been criticized for their many limitations that these simulants are representing bulk average of mare or highland rather than specifically represent certain interesting terrain spots (Taylor et al. 2016).

In the past, the trend in lunar exploration has been to perform in-situ measurements and to obtain lunar samples to the Earth. This relatively did not require complex identification of interactions between the lunar regolith and mission operations compared to missions currently being envisioned. Hence lunar regolith simulants to support lunar explorations were tend to be bulk and did not need to be specific. Resulting in building a simulant based on the mare or highland dichotomy.

However, as the trend of modern lunar exploration missions has shifted, it has become important to prepare for in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) of space resources. In the field of lunar resource exploration and utilization, a high degree of chemical and mineralogical similarity is required (Ryu et al. 2015). To effectively adapt to the new trends in lunar exploration, it is essential to meet these requirements.

In future exploration missions for supporting space resource exploration and utilization, more advanced simulants that reflect the subtle differences between terrains with a higher accuracy are required. To achieve this, it is necessary to move away from the conventional approach of developing a single simulant with bulk compositions. Instead, we need to rapidly develop simulants with precise chemical compositions to correspond to various, specific terrains. In other words, rather than developing one simulant with a single bulk composition, simulants for multiple terrains need to be developed as a series approach. However, the current methodology for a simulant development takes too long to develop series simulant. To address this, we have constructed a simulant development framework equipped with automatic mixing ratio calculation functionality with the particle swarm optimization (PSO) algorithm (Kennedy & Eberhart, 1995). We established a database for compositions of potential target lunar terrains and that of prepared material samples. Using the target and sample databases along with automated algorithm, we aim to validate the developed framework by producing and analyzing prototype simulants using this framework.

2. METHODS

Based on available rock samples of varying composition, we aimed to automatically calculate the mixture ratios of these components to obtain any desired simulant composition. The procedure was based on the results of analysis of ten major molecules $(SiO₂, Al₂O₃, FeO, CaO, MgO,$ K₂O, Na₂O, TiO₂, MnO, P₂O₅). In Section 2.1 we describe the PSO algorithm to find the optimal mixture ratios. Following, the database of rock samples is established and manufactured prototype simulant (see Section 2.2).

2.1 Development of the Framework

To implement the core functionality of automatic mixing ratio calculation, PSO algorithm was selected.

Calculation of ratios based on molecular proportions rather than mineral compositions should not pose a problem. Rocks that actually make up lunar soil such as basalt, anorthosite, and peridotite were used as ingredients. This calculation can be interpreted as finding points where ten-dimensional vectors converge to target composition vector closely. Since rocks of the same type as those found on the Moon are being used as materials, there should be no significant differences in mineral composition. Additionally, by combining various samples of rocks such as basalt, anorthosite, and peridotite, the proportion of the same types of rocks can be finely adjusted based on their molecular composition ratios. This approach goes beyond the traditional simulant development process by considering molecular composition, which is expected to result in even higher mineralogical accuracy.

Crucially, in the current simulant development process, fine mineral variations within rocks have not been considered. However, using rocks of the same type as the target allows for consideration of molecular proportions, resulting in better convergence of mineral compositions compared to existing simulants. If necessary, using multiple samples per each rock type can further enhance the convergence of mineral compositions.

The optimization problem of adjusting the prescribed target ratios of ten major molecules using some of the various samples is highly complex since we are seeking n of R^{10} vectors (where n is number of used samples), where them converging close. This causes significant risk of falling into local optimum and failing to converge to the global optimum. Therefore, a swarm-based algorithm is desired. Among them, PSO is a computational optimization algorithm inspired by the social behavior of organisms such as flocks of birds or schools of fish. In PSO, particles move through the search space, adjusting their positions based on their own experiences and the experiences of neighboring particles to find the optimal solution (Kennedy & Eberhart 1995).

The movement of each particle is influenced by two

main factors: its own best-known position and the bestknown position of neighboring particles. These positions are iteratively updated based on evaluations of fitness functions measuring the quality of each particle's solution (Kennedy & Eberhart 1995). PSO is characterized by its simplicity, ease of implementation, and efficiency in searching high-dimensional spaces. It is suitable for simulant mixing ratio calculations as it does not require derivatives in the optimization process and being efficient in high dimensions. We implemented this algorithm using the pyswarm library in Python.

The code first loads the target sample material composition and the available sample material compositions from a prebuilt .csv file. Then, it repeatedly performs calculations to find the mixture ratio that results in the least magnification from the target. The initial values after algorithm initialization are set randomly, the calculation results also exhibit relatively high randomness and it is sensitive to initial parameters.

These issues might cause early convergence, so we circumvented such problems by applying random initial parameters and iteratively computing until selecting the best value. Empirically, convergence typically begins to be significant around 50,000 iterations, and meaningful accuracy improvement is difficult to expect even with further computations around 100,000 iterations. Therefore, computations are performed around 100,000 iterations.

The "best value" is defined as the mixture ratio where the deviation of each component ratio from 100% is minimized when the component ratios are 100% of the target value. That is, after calculating how much percent each component value has compared to the target composition for ten molecules. For example, if the calculated ratio for a molecule matches the target ratio 50%, reaching 55% in the calculation, it is defined as 110%. Then, the mixture ratio with the lowest sum of deviations from 100% for all ten molecules is selected. If defining the error as %p, accuracy cannot be guaranteed for molecules with relatively lower composition ratios.

compositions from Apollo mission sample X-ray fluorescence (XRF) data (Rose et al. 1972), and is currently being conducted by selecting interesting terrains. It is necessary to consider the concept of building a database that can load and process data immediately. Additionally, samples held in the laboratory are analyzed via XRF, and after being databased, when selecting the terrain to be simulated, it automatically proceeds with selecting each rock and calculating the mixture, allowing us to simply mix them based on that.

2.2 Preparation for Manufacturing a Lunar Regolith Simulant

The sample database includes rock samples of Jeju basalt YS-2W, Hadong-Sancheong anorthosite 003A / 007A, Mexican Olivine, Handeok mine Non-Mag, Hadong-Sancheong ilmenite anorthosite 005, and Gongju Basin basalt GB151202-2A, Gogeumdo basalt GGD-15 (Table 1). Among these, Jeju basalt YS-2W, Hadong-Sancheong ilmenite anorthosite 005, Handeok mine Non-Mag, Mexican Olivine, and Hadong-Sancheong anorthosite 007A were used. While it should be strictly avoided in actual simulant development, for the purpose of validating how well the program converges to the target values, Al_2O_3 , CaO, and P_2O_5 chemicals were also included in the material list, and the lunar simulant was produced by mixing according to the ratios provided by the program.

The target for the simulation was set to the Apollo 14 bulk soil sample, 14163 soil (Rose et al. 1972). Changing this target yields immediate recalculations, so its significance is not substantial.

Subsequently, the mixture was analyzed using XRF to compare with the target values, with multiple measurements conducted for verification.

3. RESULTS

The mentioned database currently encompasses material

By running the ratio calculation program, we optimized the composition to match the target 14163 soil sample

using our currently available samples. The simulant is then created by mixing the samples according to the calculated ratios.

The Fig. 1 shows the mineral distribution of the simulant produced in this study. The Fig. 2 shows a photo of the lunar simulant produced in this study with the method described in the section 2.2. The name of this simulant is Kigam Lunar Simulant 6 (a.k.a. Kigam-L6).

We also investigated the sampling or mixing method in generating simulant using a different amount of feed stock materials in making lunar simulants. Based on our results, the deviation significantly decreased when the same amount is over 20 g. The summary result is listed in Fig. 3(a)–(d) and Fig 4. The results demonstrate the optimal sample amount could be at least 30 g when mixing feed

Fig. 2. A lunar regolith simulant prototype manufactured via automated program framework. It features a bright grey tone, similar with other lunar simulants modeled after highland terrain.

stock materials in making lunar simulant (Fig. 4).

The optimal mixing ratio calculated using the automated program shows nearly perfect chemical composition in almost all aspects, except for a significant excess of $Na₂O$ content.

4. DISCUSSIONS

Table 2 is error analyses of which carried out on other simulants simulated the same 14,163 sample based on aforementioned 'best value' (see 2.1.). In the case of Korean Lunar Simulant-1 (KLS-1), which serves the purpose of space architecture, significant deviations in chemical composition were observed while calculated ratio through the framework showed significantly lower error from the target ratio.

While KLS-1 was compared because of its identical simulation target, it was found that other simulants also exhibit considerable deviations in chemical composition. Utilizing automated calculation programs could dramatically reduce such errors.

The results are generally in line with the target. The slight deviations may be due to the heterogeneity of the prototype, as evidenced by the frequency of occurrence when increasing the weight. In addition, the manual mixing of the components can contribute to these deviations.

The discrepancy of excessive $Na₂O$ is primarily due to inherent differences in the compositions of terrestrial rocks and lunar rocks (Narendranath et al. 2022), and it highlights the need for more detailed study of the samples. It is inevitable that some samples have excessive Na content since sourcing materials from Earth makes it challenging to match precisely. Similarly, substituting FeO with $Fe₂O₃$ is inevitable.

Initially, chemicals were mixed to check that the proportions were correct, but for actual simulant development, all components must be replaced with rock samples rather than chemicals.

At present, calculations are performed only for ten major molecules (SiO₂, Al₂O₃, Fe₂O₃, CaO, MgO, K₂O, Na₂O, TiO₂, MnO, P_2O_5), but this is due to the analysis progress in the analysis of currently available samples, and calculation of trace elements and mineral composition ratios is also readily feasible.

Now, with just the proper samples provided, it is possible to quickly replicate the chemical composition of desired regions, with the ability to produce simulants immediately once the processing time for materials is accounted for. Of course, physical processing and other steps are necessary.

Fig. 3. X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis results of the completed simulant prototype. To minimize the bias due to sample heterogeneity, each sample was analyzed three times with weights of 5 g (a), 10 g (b), 20 g (c), and 30 g (d), respectively and deviations for each portions of the simulant. Overall, the results closely resemble the target values.

Fig. 4. Calculated deviations of X-ray fluorescence (XRF) analysis results of each simulant prototype portions. The higher deviation for 5 g compared to 10 g is attributed to the distribution of three distinct trends for 5 g, while 10 g exhibits two very similar values and one heterogeneous value.

Essentially, this enables rapid experimentation for resource extraction at specific landing sites and space construction, moving beyond the conventional dichotomy of highland/ mare terrains.

Furthermore, there is a plan to digitize data from Apollo, Chang'e, and Luna samples entirely. However, the varied formats pose challenges, so efforts are being made to find efficient solutions.

5. CONCLUSIONS

In this research, we have tried to respond to the demand for a new method of developing regolith simulants. Our main focus was on ensuring a sophisticated reproduction of the chemical composition, to create a simulant that could transcend the mare/highland dichotomy and reflect the subtle differences of each terrain. The purpose was to

Component	Composition (%)	Target (%)	Absolute diff. (%p)	Magnification $(\%)$	Magnification diff. (%p)
SiO ₂ (KLS)	48.00	47.97	0.03	100.06	$0.06\,$
TiO ₂ (KLS)	1.67	1.77	0.10	94.35	5.65
$\mathrm{Al}_2\mathrm{O}_3(\mathrm{KLS})$	15.30	17.57	2.27	87.08	12.92
FeO(KLS)	11.39	10.41	0.98	109.41	9.41
MnO(KLS)	0.17	0.14	0.03	121.43	21.43
MgO(KLS)	9.64	9.18	0.46	105.01	5.01
CaO(KLS)	8.38	11.15	2.77	75.16	24.84
Na ₂ O (KLS)	3.42	0.70	2.72	488.57	388.57
K ₂ O(KLS)	1.52	0.58	0.94	262.07	162.07
$P_2O_5(KLS)$	0.33	0.52	0.19	63.46	36.54
SiO ₂ (PSO)	47.88	47.97	0.09	99.82	0.18
TiO ₂ (PSO)	1.73	1.77	0.04	97.80	2.20
$\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3(\text{PSO})$	17.56	17.57	0.01	99.97	0.03
FeO (PSO)	10.47	10.41	0.06	100.54	0.54
MnO (PSO)	0.13	0.14	0.01	95.87	4.13
MgO (PSO)	9.23	9.18	0.05	100.58	0.58
CaO (PSO)	11.16	11.15	0.01	100.06	0.06
Na ₂ O (PSO)	1.86	0.70	1.16	265.36	165.36
$K2O$ (PSO)	0.62	0.58	0.04	106.91	6.91
$P_2O_5(PSO)$	0.52	0.52	0.00	99.51	0.49

Table. 2. The comparison between KLS-1 lunar simulant (Ryu et al. 2015) and the prototype developed via the framework program of this study

KLS refers to composition of KLS-1 Simulant, PSO refers to composition calculated with PSO algorithm of developed program framework on this research. Adapted from Ryu et al. (2015) with permission of Korean Geotechnical Society.

develop a lunar regolith simulant that could be used for lunar resource extraction experiments that will be needed in the near future.

In order do this quickly and effectively, we attempted to automate it using the PSO algorithm, and as a result, it became possible to produce a variety of simulants at a high speed in the future if appropriate materials were used. As a result, we have been able to dramatically reduce the time consumed while developing a regolith simulant.

However, there is still much room for improvement to produce the ideal lunar regolith simulant. In the future, consideration of the physical composition of simulants will be crucial, and will require exploration of options. parameters such as particle size, particle shape, glass composition, ion distribution, submicroscopic iron and the conversion of $Fe₂O₃$ to FeO need to be considered. Automating these aspects may be challenging since these processes require innovation in the actual hardware and these issues arise from the properties of the raw materials, the host rocks. For the time being, the plan is to continue with the current methods and explore additional options for automation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This study was supported by research project (KIGAM, 24-3216) of the Korea Institute of Geoscience and Mineral Resources funded by the Ministry of Science and ICT (MSIT).

ORCIDs

REFERENCES

- Allan M, Wong U, Furlong PM, Rogg A, McMichael S, et al., Planetary rover simulation for lunar exploration missions, in 2019 IEEE Aerospace Conference, Big Sky, MT, 2-9 Mar 2019.
- Gaier JR, The effects of lunar dust on EVA systems during the Apollo missions, NASA Glenn Research Center Technical Memorandum Report, NASA/TM-2005-213610 (2005).
- Gaier JR, The need for high fidelity lunar regolith simulants, in Space Resources Roundtable IX, Cleveland, OH, 25-27 Oct 2008.
- Kennedy J, Eberhart R, Particle swarm optimization, Proceedings of the ICNN'95 - International Conference on Neural Networks, Perth, Australia, 27 Nov-1 Dec 1995.
- McKay DS, Carter JL, Boles WW, Allen CC, Allton JH, JSC-1: a new lunar soil simulant, engineering, construction, and operations in Space IV, Proceedings of the 4th International Conference, Albuquerque, NM, Feb 26-Mar 3 1994.
- Narendranath S, Pillai NS, Tadepalli SP, Sarantos M, Vadodariya K, et al., Sodium distribution on the Moon, Astrophys. J. Lett. 937, L23 (2022). https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac905a
- Rose HJ, Cuttitta F, Annell CS, Carron MK, Christian RP, et al., Compositional data for twenty-one Fra Mauro lunar materials, Proceedings of the 3rd Lunar Science Conference, Washington DC, 15-29 Dec 1972.
- Ryu BH, Yong B, Kim YS, Chang IH, Basic study for a Korean Lunar Simulant (KLS-1) development, J. Korean Geotech. Soc. 31(7), 53-63 (2015). https://doi.org/10.7843/ KGS.2015.31.7.53
- Shi H, Li P, Yang Z, Zheng K, Du K, et al., Extracting oxygen from Chang'e-5 lunar regolith simulants, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng. 10, 13661-13668 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1021/ acssuschemeng.2c03545
- Sibille L, Carpenter P, Schlagheck R, French RA, Lunar regolith simulant materials: recommendations for standardization, production, and usage. NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Technical Report, NASA/TP-2006-214605 (2006).
- Taylor LA, Pieters C, Britt D, Evaluations of lunar regolith

simulants, Planet. Space Sci. 126, 1-7 (2016). https://doi. org/10.1016/j.pss.2016.04.005

- Taylor LA, Pieters C, Keller LP, Morris RV, McKay DS, et al., The effects of space weathering on Apollo 17 mare soils: petrographie and chemical characterization, Meteorit. Planet. Sci. 36, 285-299 (2001). https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1945-5100.2001.tb01871.x
- Taylor LA, Pieters C, Patchen A, Taylor DHS, Morris RV, et al., Mineralogical and chemical characterization of lunar highland soils: insights into the space weathering of soils on airless bodies. J. Geophys. Res. 115, E02002 (2010). https:// doi.org/10.1029/2009JE003427
- Wang Y, Hao L, Li Y, Sun Q, Sun M, et al., *In-situ* utilization of regolith resource and future exploration of additive manufacturing for lunar/martian habitats: a review. Appl. Clay Sci. 229, 106673 (2022). https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.clay.2022.106673